

## Hard Brexit

- I. Protocols of communication in the UK and the rest of the EU.
- II. The consequences of Hard Brexit.

### I

The UK has currently been in the EU for 45 years. A reason negotiations will crash is the UK elite does not understand how protocols of communication operate in the EU. Surprisingly, the EU negotiator, Michel Barnier, does not understand this difference either. We will compare protocols of communication in the UK with the Republic of Ireland.

A social protocol is an agreement, often taught from birth, or otherwise acquired through cultural absorption, of the way communication happens in a society. It is culturally imposed, and reflects the way a society operates. It is the inner state of individuals, used by them to navigate the power structures operating in a society.

Consider a society as a pyramid with horizontal layers extending from the apex to the base. Each layer is an administrative structure consisting of more and more people. The scope of responsibility from the apex to the base is like a pyramid upside down. It is greatest at the top.

In the UK power is highly vertical. The powers of the executive, legislature and judiciary are integrated. Rules apply to the layer below but do not operate as a power structure in the reverse direction. The law may be applied as if it operated everywhere due to political in-fighting or because violation of the law is so blatant, incompetent and publicly visible that to do otherwise would cast doubt on the judicial façade.

At the apex, Brenda Windsor is a powerful world figure and the richest person in the world. Military investments are the largest, and the revelation of their extent was one of the prime reasons Philip Windsor ordered the assassination of his daughter-in-law. It is well known that the British and Dutch Royal families own the Shell oil company. In terms of ownership the Windsors own one sixth of the worlds land surface. This land is worth £17,600 trillion, where a trillion is a million million.

It follows from this UK structure that there are no power relations between people, A and B, at the base. It is a no-power relationship. This means that no enforcement of compliance occurs between A and B in a communication except by reference to the power structure above. The way out of this impasse is that extensive negotiations are applied to obtain cognitive agreement between both parties as to the way they should proceed. It is perfectly acceptable for either party to disagree. If both parties agree on an ethical way of proceeding this may apply even if it has no legal basis. In some situations one party may have more access to the legal power structure than another, and this may be applied as a threat. It is normal for A and B to try to obtain cognitive agreement at all costs, otherwise no action can be performed. It is often assumed by people in the UK that this process is so natural it universally applies, even though it does not.

In the Republic of Ireland, the power and no-power relations are inverted. Let us take an example of A and B at the base. A and B both have power, and there are protocols on the way it is applied. If A asks B the question ‘what do you think of the weather today?’ this is not a request for information as it is in the UK, it is an order. B has to comply with this order,

otherwise A will physically eject B from the space which A occupies. There are various protocols by which this negotiation takes place. Say B does not know what the weather is like today. If B wishes to assist A, say because B realises that it is right that A should have the information, then B must say without preliminary politeness 'I do not know', and must follow this with the reason 'I have not looked out of the window today'. It is perfectly acceptable for B to respond in a beguilingly charming way 'Well it is so nice that we have such marvellous weather today, and we have had such a long spell of it' even though it is raining torrentially outside. This is the reason UK people are so often misdirected to their destination in Ireland, and do not understand why this has happened.

It is interesting that the no-power relationship operates between layers in the Irish Republic. This is the best feature of the Irish system. Negotiations between layers happen in an analogous way that the no-power relationship between A and B at the base operates in the UK. Press barons in the UK may try to impose a UK interpretation in Irish governance, but this always fails in a way that it would not in the UK.

It is a regular feature of UK referendums and elections that they are unfair, either because of fraudulent miscounting of ballots which is becoming increasingly endemic, by use of computer databases which contravene ostensible rules, by the gerrymandering of electoral boundaries, by intervention of establishment press barons who know how to, and often apply, manipulation of public opinion to their own ends and massive and secret contraventions of rules on electoral funding. The negotiations between the UK and the EU were initiated by a referendum in the UK that was fraudulently conducted.

By and large, people in the UK are totally incompetent in navigating between social protocols. The Brexit negotiations between the UK and the EU will fail because Theresa May, the UK Prime Minister, despite repeated advice from her own experts and statements to the contrary by Michel Barnier, the EU negotiator, thinks as is natural in everyday communications in the UK that a no-power protocol applies in negotiations between the UK and the EU. The EU thinks a power relationship applies. It has stated the parameters under which compliance to these rules applies. It has repeatedly stated that the UK is has not and is taking no reasonable steps towards conformity with this requirement. The result will be ejection of the UK from the EU space.

## II

The establishment in the UK consists of three main types. We say that conservatives accept the free market economy, there are social structures for capital and labour within it, and their objective is to direct and extend the power of capital within this. Fascists accept the objective world, but see their role is the imposition of their will, their representation within it. No rules apply in this aim, although it may be convenient to present the situation as if they do. Nazis are fascists who believe in eugenics.

Theresa May is a conservative. The fascists in the Conservative Party, for instance Michael Gove and Boris Johnson, are increasingly aligned with the Russian kleptocracy, from whom they obtain payments. There is no concern by these people for people of a wider mix, although it is always necessary to strictly apply in public the principle that democracy is working and they are at the forefront as its representative.

The Conservative Party, despite its name, has for centuries been the most revolutionary in Europe. In ejecting the peasantry from six and a half million acres of their land in the Enclosure Acts, it terminated their arduous but relatively egalitarian and democratic way of life and drove them into the towns, creating in the Industrial Revolution the world's first industrial society. In the genocide of the Irish potato famine, every effort to feed the starving was prevented by a British government intent on teaching the Catholic Irish a lesson and by imposing market forces. This depopulated its countryside and created a vast diaspora. A similar situation in Scotland was prevented jointly by the landowners and the government. In the Thatcher era, the programme against organised labour created the world's first postindustrial society. They are at it again.

It is difficult to see the full consequences at this stage. The objective of the fascists is to obtain a Protofascist state under a Prime Minister with Henry VIII powers.

Ireland, despite political division, has been an integrated economy. The EU and UK positions on the Irish border are incompatible. The border between the Irish Republic and the North will be 500 km of chain link fence 4-5 m high topped with razor wire, with many cameras and few open crossing points. This is like putting a border between Manchester and Birmingham with customs posts and taxation of goods between it. This would clearly cause severe economic disruption, and the failure of a large number of companies with knock-on effects to the wider economy. In Ireland Guinness is a major exporter. It is produced in Dublin and is bottled in the North. I cannot see other than that this company will fail.

This is not the only case and the effect will be devastating. We will call 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019, the date at which Hard Brexit is implemented as Titanic Iceberg Day. It is sometimes advised in crisis situations to be calm. I think it is preferable, and often necessary, to panic.

It is a consequence of the technical incompetence of the British elite that all technical issues are ignored, and fascist principles on the pre-eminence of will over objective fact in the conduct of negotiations apply. This is not fascism. It is lunacy.

It is well known that the British government, in an act of technical lunacy, decided to disconnect the UK air traffic control system from the rest of Europe, when no replacement system for the UK was technically possible, nor could it communicate with the European system. This means on Brexit there will be no air movements from the UK which transgress EU airspace.

Northern Ireland, after the dismantling of its coal power stations, obtains the majority of its electricity from the Republic, which has an extensive renewable energy infrastructure. A major result of this ideology is that electricity will cease to be transferred between the countries via the two interconnectors, and there will be brown-outs in the North. This also applies to the remainder of the UK, which has two interconnectors with France, one via the channel tunnel. These interconnectors transfer 4 GW of power between the EU and the UK. Norway is not part of the EU and so presumably the situation does not apply here. There is a connector planned between the UK and Norway which has extensive hydropower facilities, widely used throughout Europe, but this will not be available until 2021. For that date I asked a colleague, quite knowledgeable but not necessarily an in-depth expert on these issues, whether it would be possible to reconfigure electricity transmission throughout Europe so that the Norway connector could supply electricity to the UK. This is not feasible. Electricity generators are being purchased for use in the England, particularly the South East, which has greater priority

to the government than the rest of the UK, which apart from Scotland may vote Labour, and does not matter. Scotland generates more electricity than it uses, having both extensive oil and gas supplies, a very large wind generation capacity and hydropower. There is extensive transmission of electric power in the UK from north to south. Scotland, which will become independent soon after Titanic Iceberg Day, has extensively game planned its relations with the remainder of the UK for a long time. Scotland will maintain control of its electricity supplies after Titanic Iceberg Day, since it has negotiated the retention of powers it assumed under the EU. Power in Scotland will remain on.