

CHAPTER XV

Exponential algebra

15.1. Introduction.

We develop some elementary features of the intricate and hyperintricate *exponential algebra* and in particular the bosonic and fermionic Euler relations – an extension of the $e^{i\theta}$ expansion idea. Hyperintricate roots and the hyperintricate binomial theorem are also addressed.

15.2. The intricate Euler relations.

We will define

$$\cos \theta = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta}}{2}, \quad (1)$$

$$\sin \theta = i \frac{e^{-i\theta} - e^{i\theta}}{2}, \quad (2)$$

$$\cosh \theta = \frac{e^{-\theta} + e^{\theta}}{2}, \quad (3)$$

$$\sinh \theta = \frac{-e^{-\theta} + e^{\theta}}{2}, \quad (4)$$

so that

$$\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1, \quad (5)$$

$$\cosh^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \theta = 1. \quad (6)$$

Complex numbers satisfy the *bosonic Euler relation* with positive determinant

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta, \quad (7)$$

which can be obtained from formulas (1) and (2) or using a Taylor series expansion, where

$$e^{\lambda} = 1 + \lambda + \lambda^2/2 + \lambda^3/3! + \dots \quad (8)$$

For intricate basis elements α and ϕ , we might think a similar argument gives

$$e^{\alpha\theta} = \cosh \theta + \alpha \sinh \theta, \quad (9)$$

$$e^{\phi\theta} = \cosh \theta + \phi \sinh \theta. \quad (10)$$

However, we will see for instance that if

$$e^{\alpha\theta} = \alpha(\cosh \theta + \alpha \sinh \theta), \quad (11)$$

so that, in effect, we are defining

$$e^{\alpha\lambda} = \alpha(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2/2 + \lambda^3/3! + \dots), \quad (12)$$

then in the case of equation (12), equation (6) still holds.

We have seen in chapter I, section 6, that the determinant of an intricate number

$$(a1 + bi + c\alpha + d\phi)(a1 - bi - c\alpha - d\phi) = a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2. \quad (13)$$

But using (8) and an intricate number $e^{\alpha\lambda}$ multiplied by its complex conjugate $e^{-\alpha\lambda}$ is $e^{\alpha\lambda - \alpha\lambda} = e^0 = 1$, which is positive, and cannot represent (13) in the case considered when $b = d = 0$, and also $a^2 < c^2$. This forces us either to admit that there exist values of intricate numbers not represented by exponentials, or to adopt equations like (11) and (12). \square

I want to add an observation here, that formula (9) or respectively (11), together with formula (8) or (12) do not work in a region greater or equal to zero and less than one, under the assumption that the absolute values of $\cosh \theta = \frac{e^{-\theta} + e^{\theta}}{2} > \sinh \theta = \frac{-e^{-\theta} + e^{\theta}}{2}$. This is true under the standard criterions of arithmetic we are using, and cannot be transferred to nonstandard

numbers to allow for absolute values $\sinh \theta > \cosh \theta$. These are the reasons for the extension of (11) discussed in sections 4 and 5.

We now introduce an alternative formula to equation (7), the *fermionic Euler* relation

$$e^{\pi - i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta, \quad (14)$$

so that now we are redefining $\cos \theta$ as $-(\cos \theta)$ in the previous allocation. This clearly still satisfies equation (5).

There are many formulas derivable from the Euler relations. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \theta &= 1 + \theta^2/2 + \theta^4/4! + \dots \\ \sinh \theta &= \theta + \theta^3/3! + \theta^5/5! + \dots \end{aligned}$$

we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta &= \cos \alpha\theta = \cos \phi\theta, \\ \cosh \theta &= \cosh \alpha\theta = \cosh \phi\theta, \end{aligned}$$

and for instance, using $\alpha\phi = i$, and adopting equation (11) valid for determinants, we can set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \pm(e^{\alpha\theta} - 1)/2^{1/2}, \\ \phi &= \pm(e^{\phi\theta} - 1)/2^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

in this equation with $\theta = \sinh^{-1} \pm 1 = \pm \cosh^{-1} \pm 2^{1/2}$. \square

If we choose to represent

$$e^h = e^{a1 + bi + c\alpha + d\phi} = e^{a1} e^{bi} e^{c\alpha} e^{d\phi}, \quad (15)$$

then multiplicative noncommutativity, for example

$$e^{bi} e^{c\alpha} \neq e^{c\alpha} e^{bi}$$

from the Taylor series expansions, immediately tells us that for abelian addition (15) cannot hold, although $a1$ commutes. In the example above the noncommutation expressed as $e^{bi} e^{c\alpha} - e^{c\alpha} e^{bi}$ depends on ϕ , b and c only. This observation is unaltered if we substitute $\alpha e^{c\alpha}$ for $e^{c\alpha}$.

The square of $J = (bi + c\alpha + d\phi)$ is $(-b^2 + c^2 + d^2)$. On setting $z = a1 + JK$, for K real, when $J^2 = -1$ the Taylor expansion gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^z &= e^{a1 + (bi + c\alpha + d\phi)K} = e^{a1 + JK} \\ &= e^{a1} (\cos K + J \sin K), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

when $J^2 = +1$ assuming a Taylor expansion similar to equation (12)

$$e^z = e^{a1} J (\cosh K + J \sinh K), \quad (17)$$

and when $J^2 = 0$

$$e^z = e^{a1} (1 + JK + J^2 K^2/2 + J^3 K^3/3! + \dots) = e^{a1} (1 + JK). \quad (18)$$

We note for representations of this form that

$$e^{a1 + JL + JM} = e^{a1} e^{JL} e^{JM} \text{ or } e^{a1} J e^{JL} e^{JM}. \quad \square \quad (19)$$

It is useful to classify solutions. On putting

$$E = r\alpha + s\phi$$

so that

$$E^2 = r^2 + s^2,$$

then when

$$b^2 = E^2 + 1$$

we say the solution is trigonometric, implying we use trigonometric functions, when

$$b^2 = E^2,$$

the solution is linear and when

$$b^2 = E^2 - 1$$

the solution is hyperbolic, employing hyperbolic functions.

Incidentally, the expression $e^{p1+(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)K}$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{p1}[(1-q-s\alpha+r\phi)e^{-iK} + (1+q+s\alpha-r\phi)e^{iK}]$$

when $J^2 = -1$,

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{p1}J[(1-qi-r\alpha-s\phi)e^{-K} + (1+qi+r\alpha+s\phi)e^K]$$

when $J^2 = 1$, and evaluates as $e^{p1}[1+(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)K]$ when $J^2 = 0$. \square

The inverse of $e^{p1+(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)K}$ is

$$e^{-p1-(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)K} = e^{-p1}[\cos K - (qi+r\alpha+s\phi)\sin K]$$

for $J^2 = -1$, with corresponding expressions for $J^2 = 1$ and $J^2 = 0$ (for the intricate conjugate we multiply by e^{p1} rather than e^{-p1}). Further, for $J^2 = -1$

$$e^{p1+(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)K} = -[e^{p1+(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)(K+\pi)}],$$

and similar considerations give

$$\sin K - (qi+r\alpha+s\phi)\cos K = e^{(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)(K+\pi/2)}. \square$$

We can determine trigonometric products or products of other type, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{\uparrow}[a1+(bi+c\alpha+d\phi)K_1]\} \{e^{\uparrow}[p1+(qi+r\alpha+s\phi)K_2]\} = \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{a+pp}\{(1+M)\cos(K_1+K_2) + (1-M)\cos(K_2-K_1) \\ + [(q+b)i + (r+c)\alpha + (s+d)\phi]\sin(K_1+K_2) \\ + [(q-b)i + (r-c)\alpha + (s-d)\phi]\sin(K_2-K_1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = (bq-cr-ds) + (cs-dr)i + (bs-dq)\alpha + (-br+cq)\phi. \square$$

Chapter XVI introduces g , where $g^2 = \pm J$, $J^2 = \pm 1$. The assignment $g^4 = 1$ with $g = i^i$ provides a non-multifunction complex algebra for exponentiation, part of a study of hyperintricate exponentiation.

15.3. Comparing exponential products and the Euler relations.

If we wish to express

$$e^{p+(bi+c\alpha+d\phi)K} = e^p[\cos K + (bi+c\alpha+d\phi)\sin K]$$

where $-b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = -1$ as $e^w e^{xi} e^{y\alpha} e^{z\phi}$, then we can expand this out using the Euler relations 15.2.(8) for e^{xi} , and 15.2.(12) for $e^{y\alpha}$ and $e^{z\phi}$, as

$$\begin{aligned} e^w(\cos x + i \sin x)\alpha(\cosh y + \alpha \sinh y)\phi(\cosh z + \phi \sinh z) \\ = e^w(\cos x + i \sin x)\alpha\phi(\cosh y - \alpha \sinh y)(\cosh z + \phi \sinh z) \\ = ie^w(\cos x + i \sin x)(\cosh y - \alpha \sinh y)(\cosh z + \phi \sinh z). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = \cos x$, $Y = \cosh y$ and $Z = \cosh z$ and equating intricate parts, we obtain

$$ie^w[XYZ + (1-X^2)^{1/2}(1+Y^2)^{1/2}(1+Z^2)^{1/2}] = e^p \cos K, \quad (1)$$

$$ie^w[(1-X^2)^{1/2}YZ - X(1+Y^2)^{1/2}(1+Z^2)^{1/2}] = e^p b \sin K, \quad (2)$$

$$ie^w[-X(1+Y^2)^{1/2}Z + (1-X^2)^{1/2}Y(1+Z^2)^{1/2}] = e^p c \sin K, \quad (3)$$

$$ie^w[XY(1+Z^2)^{1/2} + (1-X^2)^{1/2}(1+Y^2)^{1/2}Z] = e^p d \sin K. \quad (4)$$

Squaring and adding these terms, but for (3) and (4) subtracting, gives

$$-e^{2w} = e^{2p}, \quad (5)$$

whereas squaring (1) and substituting from (5) gives

$$\sin^2 K = X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 + X^2 Y^2 + X^2 Z^2 - Y^2 Z^2 - 2N, \quad (6)$$

where

$$N^2 = X^2 Y^2 Z^2 (1-X^2)(1+Y^2)(1+Z^2), \quad (7)$$

so correspondingly (1) and (2) squared and added give with (5)

$$(b^2 - 1)\sin^2 K = Y^2 + Z^2 + 2Y^2Z^2, \quad (8)$$

and similarly for (2) squared, subtracted from (3) squared we obtain

$$(1 + d^2)\sin^2 K = X^2 - Y^2 + 2X^2Y^2. \quad (9)$$

We can devise solutions by expressing Z in terms of c, d, K, X and Y in the following linking equation. Put

$$\begin{aligned} T &= (1 + c^2)\sin^2 K - X^2, \\ U &= (1 + d^2)\sin^2 K - X^2 + Y^2, \end{aligned}$$

then eliminating Y^2Z^2 and X^2Y^2 terms in (6) and (7) from (8) and (9) gives

$$[4U(2 - U) - (1 - 2X^2)^2]Z^4 + [8U(1 - X^2 + Y^2) - 2T(1 - 2X^2)]Z^2 - T^2 = 0. \quad \square$$

15.4. Intricate zero determinants and negative determinants.

That the solutions originally developed are not the most general may be deduced from the observation that the determinant of $e^{a1 + (bi + c\alpha + d\phi)K}$ was given by an expression of the form 15.2.(8) or .(10) multiplied by its intricate conjugate, and in each case this is e^{2a1} , which cannot be zero or negative.

Since a determinant is a multiplicative function, that is for matrices C and D

$$\det CD = \det C \det D,$$

a representation with zero or negative determinant may be obtained on multiplying by an intricate number which itself has a zero or negative determinant. An intricate representation extending this type is

$$W = -e^{x1 + J1L} + \Delta.e^{y1 + J2M}, \quad (1)$$

with $\det \Delta = -1$.

When $J^2 = -1$, the determinant $\det J = b^2 - c^2 - d^2 = +1$, and $Je^{a + JK} = e^{a + J(\pi/2 - K)}$ has positive determinant. The intricate number $Je^{a + JK}$ has respectively zero or negative determinant when $\det J$ is zero or negative respectively.

We note that, provided we use the zero algebra, we can still use (1) where the first term on the right is zero, since $-e^{-1q}$ can be interpreted as a new type of multizero.

Consider two intricate numbers, U and V, where J is fixed and of the form $J^2 = 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} U &= -e^{x1 + JK1} + Je^{y1 + JL1}, \\ V &= -e^{x2 + JK2} + Je^{y2 + JL2}, \end{aligned}$$

then U and V commute, whereas if $J^2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} U &= -e^{x1}(1 + JK1) + Je^{y1}(1 + JL1) \\ &= -e^{x1} + J(e^{x1}K1 + e^{y1}), \\ V &= -e^{x2} + J(e^{x2}K2 + e^{y2}), \end{aligned}$$

then again U and V commute. In neither case is the form for U and V the most general, so that the representations we have chosen, for fixed J, are abelianisations of the general case.

We now force a non-commutative algebra where $\mathcal{J} = bi + c\alpha + d\phi$, under the bijective mapping $\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow i$, $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \alpha$ and $\mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \phi$ of chapter I. The form is now

$$W = -e^{x1 + \mathcal{J}K1} + \mathcal{J}e^{x2 + \mathcal{J}K2} + \mathcal{A}e^{x3 + \mathcal{J}K3} + \mathcal{F}e^{x4 + \mathcal{J}K4}.$$

This form is not restrictive up to sign since $e^{\mathcal{J}K} = -[e^{\mathcal{J}(K + \pi)}]$, $\mathcal{J} = -(bi + c\alpha + d\phi)$ also satisfies $\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$, and $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow -\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow -\mathcal{F}$ complies. However, there are two types of algebras here, with non-isomorphic handedness, that is chirality, for the triple $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}$.

The form is now surjective to a general intricate number, which can have positive, zero or negative determinant. \square

15.5. J-abelian intricate powers.

Let J_n for a variable n , where the J_n are distinct with $J_n^2 = 0$ or ± 1 , satisfy

$$U_n = -e^{x_1 + J_n K} + J_n e^{y_1 + J_n L}. \quad (1)$$

Distinct U_n are non-commutative. This equation is of the most general form, since the determinant of U_n for $J_n^2 = -1$ is positive. Under $i \leftrightarrow J_n$ equivalence the determinant of $p1 + qi + r\alpha + s\phi$ is greater than zero with value $p^2 + q^2 - r^2 - s^2$ for $J_n^2 = -1$. \square

Binomial expansions of (1) for real powers are abelian for fixed J using the binomial theorem for powers of intricate numbers, under i, α or $\phi \leftrightarrow J$ equivalence if $J^2 \neq 0$. \square

15.6. The hyperintricate Euler relations.

Part of the material of this section has been simplified and extended in chapter II, where the J -layered approach to hyperintricate representations is discussed.

An n -hyperintricate number cannot be represented in general by a product of $(n - 1)$ -equivalent hyperintricates, since to take the case $n = 2$, we may represent a product by

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = (A_1 + B_1 i_1 + C_1 \alpha_1 + D_1 \phi_1)(T_1 + U_1 i_1 + V_1 \alpha_1 + W_1 \phi_1) \quad (1)$$

and this has 8 variables whereas \mathcal{Y}_2 has 16. The two factors above commute. For a full hyperintricate number, \mathcal{Y}_n can never be represented in the above way. However, we can represent \mathcal{Y}_2 by a double sum of products

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \sum(r = 1, 2)(A_r + B_r i_1 + C_r \alpha_1 + D_r \phi_1)(T_r + U_r i_1 + V_r \alpha_1 + W_r \phi_1). \quad (2)$$

Extended alternatives to the form (1) are that T, U, V and W are complex of type $T = (a + bi)$, or respectively of type $T = (a + c\alpha)$ or $T = (a + d\phi)$. These examples of interior coefficient algebras may be considered as a restatement of the form (2).

We now obtain the n -hyperintricate Euler relations. The number of n -hyperintricate basis elements is 4^n , which may be represented as $(-1 + 5)^n = (1 + 3)^n = 1 + 3m$ for some m . So if n is even, m is divisible by 5, and if n is odd, $(m - 1)$ is divisible by 20. We will use this to allocate an n -hyperintricate number as a real part and $3m$ other parts.

Let L range over the 3 values $\{i, \alpha, \phi\}$, \mathcal{U}_{rL} be an n -hyperintricate basis element, all layers of which are 1 except those taken from a triple L in position r , and h_{qrL} be a subscripted coefficient for \mathcal{U}_{rL} . We will use u for r in context.

Then using the floor function $\lfloor \rfloor$, or integer part, for \mathcal{Y}_n such a product of intricates has $4n$ variables, therefore there must be $N = \lfloor 1 + [(4^n - 1)/n] \rfloor$ sums of these products, and if n is not a power of 2, the last product can contain less terms than the previous $N - 1$.

Thus an n -hyperintricate number may be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_n &= \sum(q = 1 \text{ to } N) \prod(r = 1 \text{ to } n) [p_{qr} + \sum(\text{over } L) h_{qrL} \mathcal{U}_{rL}] \\ &= \sum(q = 1 \text{ to } N) \{ \prod(r = 1 \text{ to } n) p_{qr} + \{ \sum(\#s = 1 \text{ to } n) \\ &\quad \prod(\text{over } t = n \text{ except for } \#s \text{ subselections}) p_{qt} \\ &\quad \prod(\text{over } u = n \text{ except for } n - \#s \text{ subselections}) [\sum(\text{over } L) h_{quL} \mathcal{U}_{uL}] \} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where in products different values of u give commuting \mathcal{U}_{uL} , and

$$[\Sigma(\text{over } L)h_{quL}\mathcal{U}_{uL}]^2 = [-h_{qui}^2 + h_{qu\alpha}^2 + h_{qu\phi}^2].$$

We will write

$$[-h_{qui}^2 + h_{qu\alpha}^2 + h_{qu\phi}^2] = J_{qu}^2 K_{qu}^2,$$

where $J_{qu}^2 = 0$ or ± 1 . Then when the product $\prod_u J_{qu}^2 = 0$

$$e^{\uparrow}(\prod_u J_{qu} K_{qu}) = [1 + \prod_u J_{qu} K_{qu}],$$

when the product $\prod_u J_{qu}^2 = -1$

$$e^{\uparrow}(\prod_u J_{qu} K_{qu}) = [\cos(\prod_u K_{qu}) + \prod_u J_{qu} \sin(\prod_u K_{qu})]$$

and when the product $\prod_u J_{qu}^2 = 1$, to maintain determinants

$$e^{\uparrow}(\prod_u J_{qu} K_{qu}) = \prod_u J_{qu} [\cosh(\prod_u K_{qu}) + \prod_u J_{qu} \sinh(\prod_u K_{qu})].$$

Then for $q = 1$ to N , $r = 1$ to n

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \Sigma_q \{ \Pi_r p_{qr} + \Sigma_{\#s} [\Pi_t p_{qt}] [e^{\uparrow} \Pi_u (J_{qu} K_{qu})] \}.$$

These are the n -hyperintricate Euler relations. \square

Also for $n = 2$ a full representation is

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = A + J_1 B + 1_J C + J''' J'' D + J'''' J'' E, \quad (3)$$

with 16 components, for which in general $J \neq J' \neq J'' \neq J''' \neq J''''$, where the first three terms amount to 7 variables and the last two to 9. We allocate $J_1^2 = 0, \pm 1$, $(1_J)^2 = 0, \pm 1$, $(J''' J'')^2 = 0, \pm 1$, with $(J'''' J''')^2 = G''''$, $(J''')^2 = H$, $G'''' H = 0, \pm 1$ and $(J''')^2 = 0, \pm (J''''')^2$.

For fixed $J_1, 1_J, J''' J'', J'''' J''$ and real variables A, B, C, D and E there is no analogue of J -abelian; for two such 2-hyperintricate numbers usually

$$\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}'_2 \neq \mathcal{R}'_2 \mathcal{R}_2,$$

since J_1 and $J''' J''$, J_1 and $J'''' J''$, 1_J and $J''' J''$, 1_J and $J'''' J''$, and $J''' J''$ and $J'''' J''$ do not generally commute.

For n -hyperintricate numbers the representation related to (3) has 4^n components in \mathcal{R}_n , and the number of combinations of various J 's is greater than this for $n > 8$, so such a representation exists either with multiple J 's for specific indices as in (3) for $n \leq 8$ or without them for $n > 8$. \square

15.7. Roots of intricate basis elements.

The multiplicative inverses of the intricate basis elements are as follows.

$$1^{-1} = 1, i^{-1} = -i, \alpha^{-1} = \alpha, \phi^{-1} = \phi.$$

We shall see that for $\alpha^{1/2}$ and $\phi^{1/2}$, square roots of intricate basis elements can be represented by hyperintricate numbers. For square roots

$$1^{1/2} = \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm(ui + v\alpha + w\phi),$$

with $-u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = 1$, allowing us to expand the list of *possibilities* below, also

$$i^{1/2} = \pm(1 + i)/\sqrt{2},$$

giving the dependent relation (with the same positive or negative sign)

$$i^{-1/2} = \pm(1 - i)/\sqrt{2},$$

with

$$\alpha^{1/2} = \pm \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\pm \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i \end{vmatrix}$$

or

$$\pm \begin{vmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\phi^{1/2} = \pm(1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{vmatrix} i^{-1/2} & i^{1/2} \\ i^{1/2} & i^{-1/2} \end{vmatrix}$$

For integers m and n we give the following roots.

$$1^{1/(2n+1)} = e^{i2\pi m/(2n+1)}$$

$$i^{1/(2n+1)} = e^{i\pi(4m+1)/[2(2n+1)]}$$

$$\alpha^{1/(2n+1)} = \alpha$$

$$\phi^{1/(2n+1)} = \phi.$$

$$1^{1/(2n)} = e^{i\pi m/n}$$

$$i^{1/(2n)} = e^{i\pi(4m+1)/(4n)}$$

with

$$\alpha^{1/(2n)} = \pm \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i^{1/n} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\pm \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i^{1/n} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\pm \begin{vmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i^{1/n} \end{vmatrix}$$

$\phi^{1/(2n)}$ may be obtained recursively from roots with smaller n. For example, since

$$\phi^{1/2} = \pm(1/\sqrt{2}) i^{1/2} \begin{vmatrix} -i & 1 \\ 1 & -i \end{vmatrix}$$

we have

$$\phi^{1/4} = \pm(1 \text{ or } i)[i^{1/4}/2^{1/4}] \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{vmatrix}$$

where (see the next paragraph for an indication of how to obtain this)

$$a^2 = (-1/2 \pm 1/\sqrt{2})i$$

$$b^2 = -i - a^2.$$

More generally, for natural numbers $t = 2^n$ and $u = 2^{n-1}$, if

$$\phi^{1/t} = e^{i2\pi m/t} [i^{1/t}/2^{1/t}] \begin{vmatrix} P & Q \\ Q & P \end{vmatrix}$$

where we have previously determined that

$$\phi^{1/u} = e^{i2\pi m/u} [i^{1/u}/2^{1/u}] \begin{vmatrix} p & q \\ q & p \end{vmatrix}$$

then on squaring the matrix in P and Q

$$P^2 + Q^2 = p$$

$$2PQ = q$$

which reduces to a solvable quadratic equation, say in P^2 , so $\phi^{1/t}$ is determined.

If a natural number $T = ty$, with y an odd number, is encountered instead of t , the determination of $\phi^{1/T}$ can be found from the relation, valid for natural numbers y and t

$$\phi^{1/T} = [\phi^{1/y}]^{1/t} = \phi^{1/t}. \quad \square$$

The results of section 2, where an intricate number may be represented by e^z for a positive determinant, can be used to give a root $e^{z/n}$, although for $J^2 = 1$, cosh cannot take the value zero.

These ideas may be combined. For example, to get around the cosh restriction on representations of α , we may write using an exterior coefficient algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{1/n} &= \begin{vmatrix} e^{2\pi mi/n} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\pi(2m+1)i/n} \end{vmatrix} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(e^{2\pi mi/n} + e^{\pi(2m+1)i/n})1_1 + \frac{1}{2}(e^{2\pi mi/n} - e^{\pi(2m+1)i/n})\alpha_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Further considerations are given in Chapter XVI.

15.8. The intricate binomial theorem for real powers.

Suppose $w = 2m + s$, with m and k natural numbers and w real. Let int be the integer part of a real number and $0! = 1$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1^w = [a^2 - b^2 + c^2 + d^2 + 2a(bi + c\alpha + d\phi)]^m \mathfrak{A}_1^s.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_1^w &= \sum_{k=0}^m [m! / (m-k)!k!] \\ &\quad \{2^k a^k (a^2 - b^2 + c^2 + d^2)^{m-k} (-b^2 + c^2 + d^2)^{\text{int}(k/2)} (bi + c\alpha + d\phi)^{k-2\text{int}(k/2)}\} \mathfrak{A}_1^s. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the binomial theorem, we use the fact that real numbers commute and the relation

$$\begin{aligned} (bi + c\alpha + d\phi)^2 &= \{i(b1 + c\phi - d\alpha)\}^2 \\ &= -(b1 - c\phi + d\alpha)(b1 + c\phi - d\alpha) \\ &= -b^2 + c^2 + d^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

15.9. The n-hyperintricate binomial theorem for real powers.

Now consider the 2-hyperintricate

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = A + P + Q + R,$$

in which typically a_{1i} is a real coefficient and 1_i is an indexed basis element, where

$$A = a_{11}1_1,$$

$$P = a_{1i}1_i + a_{1\alpha}1_\alpha + a_{1\phi}1_\phi,$$

$$Q = b_{1i}i_1 + c_{\alpha 1}\alpha_1 + d_{\phi 1}\phi_1$$

and

$$R = b_{ii}i_i + b_{i\alpha}i_\alpha + b_{i\phi}i_\phi + c_{\alpha i}\alpha_i + c_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_\alpha + c_{\alpha\phi}\alpha_\phi + d_{\phi i}\phi_i + d_{\phi\alpha}\phi_\alpha + d_{\phi\phi}\phi_\phi.$$

A is commutative with respect to P , Q and R , also products are:

$$A^2 = a_{11}^2 1_1,$$

$$P^2 = (-a_{1i}^2 + a_{1\alpha}^2 + a_{1\phi}^2)1_1,$$

$$Q^2 = (-b_{1i}^2 + c_{\alpha 1}^2 + d_{\phi 1}^2)1_1,$$

$$R^2 = H + 2L,$$

where

$$H = (b_{ii}^2 - b_{i\alpha}^2 - b_{i\phi}^2 - c_{\alpha i}^2 + c_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + c_{\alpha\phi}^2 - d_{\phi i}^2 + d_{\phi\alpha}^2 + d_{\phi\phi}^2)1_1,$$

$$L = \{(b_{ii}c_{\alpha\alpha} - b_{i\alpha}c_{\alpha i})\phi_\phi - (b_{ii}c_{\alpha\phi} - b_{i\phi}c_{\alpha i})\phi_\alpha + (b_{i\phi}c_{\alpha\alpha} - b_{i\alpha}c_{\alpha\phi})\phi_i \\ - (b_{ii}d_{\phi\alpha} - b_{i\alpha}d_{\phi i})\alpha_\phi + (b_{ii}d_{\phi\phi} - b_{i\phi}d_{\phi i})\alpha_\alpha - (b_{i\phi}d_{\phi\alpha} - b_{i\alpha}d_{\phi\phi})\alpha_i \\ + (c_{\alpha\alpha}d_{\phi i} - c_{\alpha i}d_{\phi\alpha})i_\phi - (c_{\alpha\phi}d_{\phi i} - c_{\alpha i}d_{\phi\phi})i_\alpha + (c_{\alpha\alpha}d_{\phi\phi} - c_{\alpha\phi}d_{\phi\alpha})i_i\},$$

$$PQ = (a_{1i}b_{i1}i_i + a_{1i}c_{\alpha 1}\alpha_i + a_{1i}d_{\phi 1}\phi_i \\ + a_{1\alpha}b_{i1}i_\alpha + a_{1\alpha}c_{\alpha 1}\alpha_\alpha + a_{1\alpha}d_{\phi 1}\phi_\alpha \\ + a_{1\phi}b_{i1}i_\phi + a_{1\phi}c_{\alpha 1}\alpha_\phi + a_{1\phi}d_{\phi 1}\phi_\phi) \\ = QP,$$

$$F = (PR + RP)/2 \\ = (-a_{1i}b_{ii} + a_{1\alpha}b_{i\alpha} + a_{1\phi}b_{i\phi})i_1 \\ + (-a_{1i}c_{\alpha i} + a_{1\alpha}c_{\alpha\alpha} + a_{1\phi}c_{\alpha\phi})\alpha_1 \\ + (-a_{1i}d_{\phi i} + a_{1\alpha}d_{\phi\alpha} + a_{1\phi}d_{\phi\phi})\phi_1$$

and

$$G = (QR + RQ)/2 \\ = (-b_{i1}b_{ii} + c_{\alpha 1}c_{\alpha i} + d_{\phi 1}d_{\phi i})1_i \\ + (-b_{i1}b_{i\alpha} + c_{\alpha 1}c_{\alpha\alpha} + d_{\phi 1}d_{\phi\alpha})1_\alpha \\ + (-b_{i1}b_{i\phi} + c_{\alpha 1}c_{\alpha\phi} + d_{\phi 1}d_{\phi\phi})1_\phi,$$

so with different coefficients, F acts like Q, G like P, and L and PQ like R, then for example

$$FG = GF. \quad \square$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{Y}_2^2 = A^2 + P^2 + Q^2 + R^2 + 2\{A(P + Q + R) + PQ + F + G\},$$

and separating commutative and non-commutative terms

$$\mathcal{Y}_2^w = \sum_{k=0}^m [m!/(m-k)!k!] \\ \{2^k(A^2 + P^2 + Q^2 + H)^{m-k}[(A(P + Q + R) + PQ + F + G + L)^k]\} \mathcal{Y}_2^s. \quad \square$$

The n-hyperintricate binomial theorem for real powers may also be considered. From

$$\mathcal{Y}_n^w = \{\sum_{q=1}^N \prod_{r=1}^n [p_{qr} + \sum_{\text{over } L} h_{qrL} \mathcal{U}_{rL}]\}^w$$

which is of the form

$$\mathcal{Y}_n^w = \{\sum_{v=1}^N [a_v A_v]\}^w,$$

where the a_v are real, the terms A_v involve a real term and terms $\sum_{\text{over } L} h_{qrL} \mathcal{U}_{rL}$ which commute for different r, or correspond with \mathcal{U}_{rL} to a power for the same r. Therefore on taking the power of w, we can apply the multinomial theorem to obtain

$$\mathcal{Y}_n^w = \sum_{\text{for } k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_N = w} [w! / k_1! k_2! \dots k_N!] \prod_{1 \leq t \leq N} [a_t A_t]^{\uparrow k_t}. \quad \square$$